

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,924	03/12/2001	Fu-Sheng Chen	06484.0070	1250
22852	7590 09/10/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DANNY	
WASHINGTO	JN, DC 20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			DATE MAN TO COMO COMO	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1 '	Office Action On	09/802,924	CHEN, FU-SHENG	0
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Danny Nguyen	2836	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
- External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO at the cause the application to be a recommendation.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication	1 .
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 July 2003 .		
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	owance except for formal ma er <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits i .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	S
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 17-19 is/are pending in	the application.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,17-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ c	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	All b) Some * c) None of:			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E se the attached detailed Office action for a lis	iority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	received in this National Stage	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes			n)
a) 15)∐ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign language p knowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has be	een received	17.
Attachment(s		_		
2)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statements filed 03-12-2001 has HTML in it. Examiner has considered, but the office will not print it on the front of the patent.
- 2. Claims 2, and 10-16 are cancelled, and the new claim 19 is added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3-6, 9, 17, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tepman (USPN 5,951,775).

Regarding to claims 1, 5,Tepman discloses a chuck system for supporting a semiconductor wafer (see fig. 1 and 2) comprises a chuck platform (16) for supporting the semiconductor wafer, lift structure (see fig. 2) movably coupled to the platform to receive the wafer (14), including a lift base (shown in fig. 2) and at least one lift pin (30) removably coupled to the base, the lift pin having two ends with a first end removably coupled to the base and the second end coupled for supporting the wafer during operation of the lift structure, the lift structure has an external thread on the first end of the lift pin and a matching internal thread in a hole provided by the lift base to removable couple the lift pin and the base.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,924

Art Unit: 2836

Page 3

Regarding to claims 4, 9,Tepman discloses the first end of the lift pin (first pin 30) is threaded and the base having a threaded hole (see fig. 2) for receiving the first end of the lift pin, the lift structure comprises a plurality of pins (plural pins 30) connected to the base.

Regarding to claim 3,Tepman disclose the system comprises a bolt (shown in fig. 2), wherein the first end of the lift pin (first pin 30) is threaded and the bolt removably couples the lift pin with the base through an opening provided by the lift base.

Regarding to claims 6 and 13, Tepman discloses the chuck system is an electrostatic chuck system (fig. 2).

Regarding to claims 17, 18, Tepman discloses a method of maintaining a lift structure of a chuck wafer (fig. 2) that supports a semiconductor wafer (14) comprises proving a removable lift pin (first pin 30), removing the first pin to the lift base (by removing the bolt), mounting a second pin to the lift base (see fig. 2).

Regarding to claim 19,Tepman discloses that the chuck system comprises a driving mechanism (driving mechanism 18) for driving the lift structure, the lift base having at least one mounting hole to mount the lift structure to the driving mechanism, wherein the mounting hole being positioned closer to the center of the lift base than the lift pin (shown in fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/802,924

Art Unit: 2836

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tepman in view of Morita et al. (USPN 5,815,366). Tepman discloses all limitations of claim 1 except for having the lift pin connected to ground when the lift receives the wafer. Morita et al. diclose the lift pin connected to ground (ground circuit 30 shown in fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to modify the circuit of Tepman with a ground circuit ad taught by Morita et al. in order to discharge electrostatic on the wafer during lifting operation.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 07/21/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Drage et al reference.

Regarding to claim 1, applicant argued that the Drage et al reference fails to teach a lift base and at least one lift pin removably coupled with the lift base, the lift pin having two ends with a first end removably coupled with the lift base and a second end for supporting the wafer during lifting operation. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's arguments. Drage et al teach a lift base (14) and at least one lift pin (such as pins 13) removably coupled with the lift base, the lift pin having two ends with a first end removably coupled with the lift base and a second end for supporting the wafer during lifting operation (see col. 2, lines 1-57). However, examiner agrees with applicant's arguments that Drage et al. does not discloses the first end of the lift pin is threaded and the lift base has a threaded hole for receiving the first end of the lift pin.

Art Unit: 2836

Thus, applicant's arguments overcome the Drage reference after the claim are amended.

Tepman reference

Regarding to claims 1, 17, applicant argued that the Tepman reference fails to teach the first end of the lift pin is threaded and the lift base has a threaded hole for receiving the first end of the lift pin. However, Tepman discloses the first end of the lift pin (30) is threaded and the lift base has a threaded hole for receiving the first end of the lift pin (shown in fig. 2), to remove the lift pin (16) from the lift base by removing the lower knob (shown in fig. 2). Thus, the applicant's arguments of claims 1 and 17 do overcome the Tepman reference.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)-305-5988. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703)-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

DV

September 5, 2003

CHEGORY J. YOATLEY, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER